

SINGULAR LIMITS AND PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME DEGENERATE ELLIPTIC AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

KIN MING HUI AND SUNGHOON KIM

ABSTRACT. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)} = \frac{m\rho_1}{n-2-nm}$, $\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta+\rho_1}{1-m}$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. For any $\lambda > 0$, we prove the uniqueness of radially symmetric solution $v^{(m)}$ of $\Delta(v^m/m) + \alpha_m v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0$, $v > 0$, in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v^{(m)}(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$ and obtain higher order estimates of $v^{(m)}$ near the blow-up point $x = 0$. We prove that as $m \rightarrow 0^+$, $v^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to the solution v of $\Delta \log v + \alpha v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0$, $v > 0$, in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}$. We also prove that if the solution $u^{(m)}$ of $u_t = \Delta(u^m/m)$, $u > 0$, in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ which blows up near $\{0\} \times (0, T)$ at the rate $|x|^{-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}}$ satisfies some mild growth condition on $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$, then as $m \rightarrow 0^+$, $u^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(K)$ for some constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ to the solution of $u_t = \Delta \log u$, $u > 0$, in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$. As a consequence of the proof we obtain existence of a unique radially symmetric solution $v^{(0)}$ of $\Delta \log v + \alpha v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0$, $v > 0$, in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there is a lot of study on the equation [DGL], [DS1], [FVWY], [FW1–4], [Hs3], [KL], [PS], [VW1], [VW2],

$$u_t = \Delta \phi_m(u), \quad u > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\phi_m(u) = \begin{cases} u^m/m & \text{if } m \neq 0 \\ \log u & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and the associated elliptic equation [DKS], [Hs2], [Hs4], [Hu4],

$$\Delta \phi_m(v) + \alpha_m v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0, \quad v > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where α_m and β are some constants. Recently P. Daskalopoulos, M. del Pino, M. Fila, S.Y. Hsu, K.M. Hui, S. Kim, J. King, Ki-Ahm Lee, N. Sesum, M. Sáez, J. L. Vázquez, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and N. Liao, etc. have many results on (1.1) and (1.3). The equation (1.1) appears in many physical models [Ar], [DK], [V3] and in the study of Ricci and Yamabe flow on manifolds [DS2], [H], [V2], [W]. When $m > 1$, it appears in modelling the evolution of various diffusion processes such as the flow of a gas through a porous medium [Ar]. When $m = 1$, (1.1) is the heat equation. When $0 < m < 1$, (1.1) is the fast diffusion equation. When $n \geq 3$ and $g = u^{\frac{4}{n+2}} dx^2$ is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n which evolves by the Yamabe flow

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -Rg \quad \text{on } (0, T)$$

where $R(\cdot, t)$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $g(\cdot, t)$, then u satisfies [DS2], [PS], [Y],

$$u_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^m \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40 Secondary 35B44, 35J75, 35K55.

Key words and phrases. Singular limit, degenerate elliptic equation, fast diffusion equation, higher order blow-up rate.

which after rescaling is equivalent to (1.1). Note that if $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\beta > 0$, $\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta + \rho_1}{1-m}$ and $v^{(m)}$ is a solution of (1.3) in \mathbb{R}^n (or $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$), then with $\rho_1 = 1$ and $T > 0$ the rescaled function

$$V^{(m)}(x, t) = (T - t)^{\alpha_m} v^{(m)}((T - t)^\beta x) \quad (1.4)$$

is a self-similar solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ ($(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$, respectively) which vanishes at time T . Since solutions of (1.1) which vanishes at a finite time usually behaves like self-similar solutions of the form (1.4), in order to understand the behaviour of the solutions of (1.1), it is important to study the properties of solutions of (1.3).

For $m > \frac{(n-2)_+}{n}$, there are lots of studies on the solutions of (1.1) ([DK], [V3]). However there is not much study on the equations (1.1) and (1.3) for the case $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ until recently. This is because there is a big difference on the behaviour of solutions of (1.1) for the case $\frac{(n-2)_+}{n} < m < 1$ and the case $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ [DK], [HP], [V1]. For example for any $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, when $\frac{(n-2)_+}{n} < m < 1$, there exists ([HP]) a unique global positive smooth solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ with initial data u_0 on \mathbb{R}^n . However for $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ the Barenblatt solutions [DS1]

$$B_k(x, t) = \left(\frac{C_*}{k + (T - t)^{\frac{2}{n-2-nm}} |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} (T - t)^{\frac{n}{n-2-nm}}, \quad C_* = \frac{2(n-2-mn)}{1-m}, \quad k > 0,$$

satisfy (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ and vanishes identically at time T .

For the subcritical case $m < \frac{(n-2)_+}{n}$, M. Fila and M. Winkler [FW1–4] have obtained a lot of subtle phenomena for the solutions of (1.1). In [FW1] and [FW2] they proved the sharp rate of convergence of solutions of (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n with $n > 4$ and $0 < m \leq \frac{n-4}{n-2}$ to the Barenblatt solutions as the extinction time is approached. In [FW3] they also proved the rate of convergence of solutions of (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n to separable solutions of (1.1) when $n > 10$ and $0 < m < \frac{(n-2)(n-10)}{(n-2)^2 - 4n + 8\sqrt{n-1}}$. In [FW4] they found an explicit dependence of the slow temporal growth rate of solutions of (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n on the initial spatial growth rate.

Properties of singular solutions of (1.1) are studied by E. Chasseigne, J.L. Vazquez and M. Winkler in the papers [CV], [V4], [VW1] and [VW2]. Existence of singular solution of (1.1) for the case $\frac{n-2}{n} < m < 1$ with initial value a nonnegative Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n which blows up at a singular set of \mathbb{R}^n is proved by E. Chasseigne and J.L. Vazquez in [CV]. Finite blow-down or delay regularization behaviour for the solutions of the 2-dimensional logarithmic diffusion equation (1.1) (with $m = 0$) was studied in [V4]. Asymptotic oscillating behaviour of singular solutions of (1.1) in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n with $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$ was studied in [VW1] and the evolution of singularities of solutions of (1.1) in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n with $0 < m < 1$ and $n \geq 3$ was studied in [VW2].

Another way to study the solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) is to study the singular limit of the solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) as $m \rightarrow 0$. Singular limit of solutions of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$ as $m \rightarrow 0^+$ and in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, as $m \rightarrow 0$ are proved by K.M. Hui in [Hu1] and [Hu3]. Singular limit of solutions of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, $n \geq 2$, as $m \rightarrow 0^-$ is also proved by K.M. Hui in [Hu3]. Singular limit of weak local solutions of (1.1) in $O \times (0, \infty)$ as $m \rightarrow 0$ for any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is proved by E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and N. Liao in [DGL]. For $n \geq 3$, $0 < m \leq \frac{n-2}{n}$ and either $\beta > 0$ or $\alpha = 0$, singular limit of solutions of

$$\Delta(v^m/m) + \alpha v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0, \quad v > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

as $m \rightarrow 0^+$ is proved by S.Y. Hsu in [Hs2].

In [Hu4] K.M. Hui proved for any $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(m)}$ and

$$\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta + \rho_1}{1-m} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\beta_0^{(m)} = \frac{m\rho_1}{n-2-nm} \quad (1.6)$$

there exists a radially symmetric solution $v := v^{(m)}$ of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}. \quad (1.7)$$

In this paper we will prove that as $m \rightarrow 0^+$, the radially symmetric solution $v^{(m)}$ of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $\beta > 0$ and α_m given by (1.5) converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to the solution $v = v^{(0)}$ of

$$\Delta \log v + \alpha v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0, \quad v > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.8)$$

which satisfies

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v^{(0)}(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \quad (1.9)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_0 = 2\beta + \rho_1$. We will also prove that if $u^{(m)}$ is the solution of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ with $\beta > 0$ and α_m given by (1.5) which blows up near $\{0\} \times (0, T)$ at the rate $|x|^{-\alpha_m/\beta}$, then as $m \rightarrow 0^+$, $u^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ to the solution u of

$$u_t = \Delta \log u, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T). \quad (1.10)$$

For any $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$, $\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta + \rho_1}{1-m}$ and $\lambda > 0$, we also prove the uniqueness of radially symmetric solution $v^{(m)}$ of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) and obtain higher order estimates of $v^{(m)}$ near the blow-up point $x = 0$.

Unless stated otherwise we will now assume that $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(m)}$ and ϕ_m , α_m , $\beta_0^{(m)}$, are given by (1.2), (1.5) and (1.6) respectively and $v = v^{(m)}$ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) for the rest of the paper. We now recall a result of [Hu4].

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.1 of [Hu4]). *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(m)}$. Then there exists a radially symmetric solution $v = v^{(m)}$ of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) and*

$$(v^{(m)})'(r) \leq 0 \quad \forall r = |x| > 0. \quad (1.11)$$

In this paper we will prove the following main results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$ and ϕ_m , α_m , $\beta_0^{(m)}$, be given by (1.2), (1.5) and (1.6) respectively and let $v = v^{(m)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7). Let $\tilde{w}(r) = r^{\alpha_m/\beta} v(r)$, $\rho = r^{\rho_1/\beta}$ and $\bar{w}(\rho) = \tilde{w}(r)$. Then \bar{w} can be extended to a function in $C^2([0, \infty))$ by setting*

$$\bar{w}(0) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}, \quad \bar{w}_\rho(0) = A_1 \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{w}_{\rho\rho}(0) = A_2 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{a_3}{a_2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{a_3(ma_3 - a_1)}{a_2^2},$$

and

$$a_1 = \frac{(n-2)\beta - 2m\alpha_m + \rho_1}{\rho_1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{\beta^2}{\rho_1}, \quad a_3 = \frac{\alpha_m\beta(n-2) - m\alpha_m^2}{\rho_1^2}. \quad (1.13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} \bar{w}(\rho) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} + A_1 \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \rho + \frac{A_2}{2} \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \rho^2 + o(\rho^2) & \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) = A_1 \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} + A_2 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \rho + o(\rho) & \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0^+ \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} v^{(m)}(r) = r^{-\alpha_m/\beta} \left[\lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} + A_1 \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} + \frac{A_2}{2} \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{\frac{2\rho_1}{\beta}} + o\left(r^{\frac{2\rho_1}{\beta}}\right) \right] & \text{as } r \rightarrow 0^+ \\ (v^{(m)})'(r) = r^{-(\alpha_m/\beta)-1} \left[-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} - \frac{(2\beta + m\rho_1)}{(1-m)\beta} A_1 \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} + o\left(r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}\right) \right] & \text{as } r \rightarrow 0^+. \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$ and α_m be given by (1.5). Let v_1, v_2 be radially symmetric solutions of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7). Then

$$v_1(r) = v_2(r) \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (1.15)$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Suppose that $v = v^{(0)}$ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 v(r) = \frac{2(n-2)}{\alpha - 2\beta}. \quad (1.16)$$

Theorem 1.5. Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $\bar{m}_0 \in (0, \frac{n-2}{n})$ satisfy $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(\bar{m}_0)}$. For any $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, let α_m be given by (1.5) and let $v^{(m)}$ be the unique radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) given by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Then as $m \rightarrow 0^+$, $v^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to the unique radially symmetric solution v of (1.8) which satisfies (1.9).

Theorem 1.6. Let $n \geq 3$, $\beta > 0$, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + 1$. Suppose that $0 \leq u_{0,1} \leq u_{0,2} \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $u_1, u_2 \in C((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, T))$ are subsolution and supersolution of

$$u_t = \Delta \log u, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \quad (1.17)$$

which satisfies

$$u_i(x, 0) = u_{0,i}(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall i = 1, 2$$

and

$$V_{\lambda_1}(x, t) \leq u_i(x, t) \leq V_{\lambda_2}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \quad \forall i = 1, 2 \quad (1.18)$$

where

$$V_{\lambda_i}(x, t) = (T - t)^\alpha v_{\lambda_i}((T - t)^\beta |x|) \quad \forall i = 1, 2$$

and v_{λ_i} is the radially symmetric solution of (1.8) which satisfies (1.9) with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, respectively, then

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T). \quad (1.19)$$

Hence if $u_{0,1} = u_{0,2}$, then $u_1 = u_2$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < \bar{m}_0 < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(\bar{m}_0)}$, $\alpha = 2\beta + 1$ and $T > 0$. For any $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, let α_m be given by (1.5) with $\rho_1 = 1$ and

$$V_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}(x, t) = (T - t)^{\alpha_m} v_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}((T - t)^\beta x) \quad \forall i = 1, 2 \quad (1.20)$$

where $v_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}$ is the radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, respectively. Let $\{u_{0,m}\}_{0 < m < \bar{m}_0} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $u_{0,m} \geq 0$ for all $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, be a family of functions satisfying

$$V_{\lambda_1}^{(m)}(x, 0) \leq u_{0,m}(x) \leq V_{\lambda_2}^{(m)}(x, 0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$u_{0,m} \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \text{ as } m \rightarrow 0^+.$$

For any $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, let $u^{(m)}$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta(u^m/m), & u > 0, & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_{0,m} & & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

given by Theorem 1.7 of [Hu4] which satisfies

$$V_{\lambda_1}^{(m)}(x, t) \leq u^{(m)}(x, t) \leq V_{\lambda_2}^{(m)}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T). \quad (1.23)$$

Then $u^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(K)$ for some constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ to the solution u of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta \log u, u > 0, & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \quad (1.24)$$

as $m \rightarrow 0^+$ and u satisfies

$$V_1(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq V_2(x, t) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \quad (1.25)$$

where

$$V_i(x, t) = (T - t)^\alpha v_{\lambda_i} \left((T - t)^\beta |x| \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} V_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}(x, t) \quad \forall i = 1, 2$$

and v_{λ_i} is the radially symmetric solution of (1.8) given by Theorem 1.1 which satisfies (1.9) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively.

Remark 1.8. By Lemma 5.1 of [Hu4] for any $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $T > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^m$, $\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta+1}{1-m}$, $0 \leq u_0 \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, if u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta(u^m/m), u > 0, & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}$$

which satisfies (1.23), then $u_1 = u_2$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$.

The plan of the paper is as follows. We will prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in section two. We will prove Theorem 1.4 in section three and Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.6, and Theorem 1.7 in section four.

We start with some definitions. We say that u is a solution of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ if $u \in C^{2,1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T))$ is positive in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ and satisfies (1.1) in the classical sense in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$. We say that u is a subsolution (supersolution, respectively) of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ if $u \in C((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T))$ is positive in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_2) \eta(x, t_2) dx \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u \eta_t + \phi_m(u) \Delta \eta) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_1) \eta(x, t_1) dx \quad \forall T > t_2 > t_1 > 0 \quad (1.26)$$

(\geq , respectively) for any $\eta \in C_0^{2,1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T))$. For any $0 \leq u_0 \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we say that a solution (or subsolution or supersolution) u of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ has initial value u_0 if $u(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u_0$ in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We say that v is a solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if $u \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is positive in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies (1.3) in the classical sense in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. For any $R > 0$, let $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$.

2. UNIQUENESS OF RADIALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS AND HIGHER ORDER ESTIMATES AT THE ORIGIN

In this section we will prove the uniqueness of radially symmetric solution $v^{(m)}$ of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) and obtain higher order estimates of $v^{(m)}$ near the blow-up point $x = 0$.

Let $\tilde{w}(r) = r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v^{(m)}(r)$, $\rho = r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}$ and $\bar{w}(\rho) = \tilde{w}(r)$. Then by the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [Hu4], \tilde{w} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\tilde{w}_r}{\tilde{w}} \right)_r + \frac{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha_m}{\beta}}{r} \cdot \frac{\tilde{w}_r}{\tilde{w}} + m \left(\frac{\tilde{w}_r}{\tilde{w}} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta r^{-1-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \tilde{w}_r}{\tilde{w}^m} = \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \cdot \frac{n-2-\frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta}}{r^2} \quad \forall r > 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \left(\frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} \right)_\rho + m \left(\frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} \right)^2 + \frac{a_1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} + \frac{a_2}{\rho^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}^m} = \frac{a_3}{\rho^2} \quad \forall \rho > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where a_1, a_2 and a_3 are constants given by (1.13). Note that by (1.7),

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}(\rho) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence $\bar{w}(\rho)$ can be extended to a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ by letting $\bar{w}(0) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$. Then*

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho) > 0 \quad \forall \rho > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

or equivalently,

$$v^{(m)}(r) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha_m} r(v^{(m)})'(r) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Hence

$$v^{(m)}(r) \geq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} \quad \forall r = |x| > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Suppose that (2.3) does not hold. Then there exists a constant $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho_2) \leq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$, $a_3 > 0$. Then by (2.1) and (2.5),

$$\left(\rho^{a_1} \cdot \bar{w}^m \cdot \frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} \right)_\rho (\rho_2) = -a_2 \rho_2^{a_1-2} \bar{w}_\rho(\rho_2) + a_3 \rho_2^{a_1-2} \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m \geq a_3 \rho_2^{a_1-2} \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence by (2.5) and (2.6) there exists a constant $b \in (0, \rho_2)$ such that

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho) < 0 \quad \text{in } (\rho_2 - b, \rho_2).$$

Let (ρ_3, ρ_2) , $\rho_3 \in [0, \rho_2)$, be the maximal interval such that

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho) < 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_2). \quad (2.7)$$

If $a_1 \geq 0$, by (2.1) and (2.7),

$$(\phi_m(\bar{w}))_{\rho\rho}(\rho) = \left(\bar{w}^m \cdot \frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} \right)_\rho (\rho) \geq a_3 \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)^m}{\rho^2} \geq a_3 \frac{\bar{w}(\rho_2)^m}{\rho^2} > 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_2) \quad (2.8)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho_2) \geq (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho) + a_3 \bar{w}^m(\rho_2) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_m(\bar{w}(\rho)) \geq \phi_m(\bar{w}(\rho_2)) + \left(\phi_m(\bar{w})_\rho(\rho_2) + a_3 \rho_2^{-1} \bar{w}^m(\rho_2) \right) (\rho - \rho_2) + a_3 \bar{w}^m(\rho_2) \log \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \right) \quad \forall \rho_3 < \rho < \rho_2. \quad (2.9)$$

If $a_1 < 0$, then by (2.1) and (2.7),

$$(\rho^{a_1} (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho)_\rho = \left(\rho^{a_1} \cdot \bar{w}^m \cdot \frac{\bar{w}_\rho}{\bar{w}} \right)_\rho (\rho) \geq a_3 \rho^{a_1-2} \bar{w}(\rho)^m \geq a_3 \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m \rho^{a_1-2} > 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_2) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_2^{a_1} (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho_2) \geq \rho^{a_1} (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho) + \frac{a_3 \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m}{1 - a_1} (\rho^{a_1-1} - \rho_2^{a_1-1}) \quad \forall \rho_3 < \rho < \rho_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_2^{a_1} (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho_2) \rho^{-a_1} \geq (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho) + \frac{a_3 \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m}{1 - a_1} (\rho^{-1} - \rho_2^{a_1-1} \rho^{-a_1}) \quad \forall \rho_3 < \rho < \rho_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_m(\bar{w}(\rho)) \geq \phi_m(\bar{w}(\rho_2)) + C_1 (\rho^{1-a_1} - \rho_2^{1-a_1}) + \frac{a_3 \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m}{1 - a_1} \log(\rho_2/\rho) \quad \forall \rho_3 < \rho < \rho_2. \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{1-a_1} \left(\rho_2^{a_1} (\phi_m(\bar{w}))_\rho(\rho_2) + \frac{a_3 \rho_2^{a_1-1} \bar{w}(\rho_2)^m}{1-a_1} \right).$$

If $\rho_3 = 0$, then by (2.9) and (2.11),

$$\bar{w}(\rho) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0^+$$

which contradicts (2.2). Hence $\rho_3 > 0$ and

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho_3) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

By (2.8), (2.10) and (2.12),

$$\bar{w}_\rho(\rho) > 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_2)$$

which contradicts (2.7). Hence no such $\rho_2 > 0$ exists and (2.3) follows. By (2.2) and (2.3), (2.4) follows. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) = \frac{a_3}{a_2} \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} = \frac{\alpha_m \beta (n-2) - m \alpha_m^2}{\beta^2 \rho_1} \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} (v^{(m)})'(r) = -\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad (2.14)$$

where a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are constants given by (1.13). Hence \bar{w} can be extended to a function in $C^1([0, \infty))$ by letting $\bar{w}(0) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$ and $\bar{w}_\rho(0) = \frac{a_3}{a_2} \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$.

Proof. Let

$$q(\rho) = \frac{1}{\bar{w}_\rho(\rho)}.$$

By (2.1) $q(\rho)$ satisfies

$$q_\rho(\rho) = -\frac{(1-m)}{\bar{w}(\rho)} + \frac{q(\rho)}{\rho} \left[a_1 + \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)}{\rho} \left(\frac{a_2}{\bar{w}(\rho)^m} - a_3 q(\rho) \right) \right] \quad \forall \rho > 0. \quad (2.15)$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$q(\rho) > 0 \quad \forall \rho > 0. \quad (2.16)$$

By (2.2) and (2.3) there exists a constant $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} < \bar{w}(\rho) < 2\lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \rho_2. \quad (2.17)$$

We now claim that there exists a constant $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{a_2}{8a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \leq q(\rho) \leq \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \rho_0. \quad (2.18)$$

To prove the inequality on the right hand side of (2.18), we first suppose that the inequality on the right hand side of (2.18) does not hold for any $\rho_0 > 0$. Then there exists a constant

$$0 < \rho_3 < \min \left\{ \rho_2, \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{8|a_1|+1} \right\} \quad (2.19)$$

such that

$$q(\rho_3) > \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}.$$

Then by continuity of $q(\rho)$ on $(0, \infty)$, there exists a maximal interval (ρ_4, ρ_3) , $(0 \leq \rho_4 < \rho_3)$ such that

$$q(\rho) > \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_4, \rho_3). \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20), $q(\rho)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} q(\rho) &\leq \frac{q(\rho)}{\rho} \left[\left(a_1 - \frac{a_3 \bar{w}(\rho)}{4\rho} q(\rho) \right) + \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)}{\rho} \left(\frac{a_2}{\bar{w}(\rho)^m} - \frac{a_3}{2} q(\rho) \right) - \frac{a_3 \bar{w}(\rho)}{4\rho} q(\rho) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{q(\rho)}{\rho} \left[\left(a_1 - \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{4\rho} \right) + \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)}{\rho} \left(a_2 \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} - \frac{a_3}{2} q(\rho) \right) - \frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4\rho} q(\rho) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

$$\leq -\frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4\rho^2} q(\rho)^2 < 0 \quad (2.22)$$

in (ρ_4, ρ_3) . Dividing (2.21) by $q(\rho)^2$ and integrating over (ρ, ρ_3) , $\rho_4 < \rho < \rho_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) = \frac{1}{q(\rho)} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{q(\rho_3)} + \frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4\rho_3} \right) - \frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4\rho} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_4, \rho_3) \\ \Rightarrow \bar{w}(\rho) &\geq \bar{w}(\rho_3) + \left(\frac{1}{q(\rho_3)} + \frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4\rho_3} \right) (\rho - \rho_3) + \frac{a_3 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{4} \log(\rho_3/\rho) \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_4, \rho_3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

If $\rho_4 = 0$, then by (2.23),

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}(\rho) = \infty$$

which contradicts (2.2). Hence $\rho_4 > 0$ and

$$q(\rho_4) = \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}. \quad (2.24)$$

By (2.21) and (2.24),

$$q(\rho) < q(\rho_4) = \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall \rho_4 < \rho < \rho_3$$

which contradicts (2.20). Hence no such $\rho_3 > 0$ exists and

$$q(\rho) \leq \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \min \left(\rho_2, \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{8|a_1| + 1} \right). \quad (2.25)$$

Now suppose the first inequality of (2.18) does not hold for any $\rho_0 > 0$. Then there exists a constant

$$0 < \rho_5 < \min \left\{ \rho_2, \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{8|a_1| + 1} \right\} \quad (2.26)$$

such that

$$q(\rho_5) < \frac{a_2}{8a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}. \quad (2.27)$$

By (2.17) and (2.27),

$$\bar{w}(\rho_5) q(\rho_5) < \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{4a_3}. \quad (2.28)$$

Then by (2.28) and continuity of $\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho)$ on $(0, \infty)$ there exists a maximal interval (ρ_6, ρ_5) ($0 \leq \rho_6 < \rho_5$) such that

$$\bar{w}(\rho) q(\rho) < \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{4a_3} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_6, \rho_5). \quad (2.29)$$

By (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), (2.26) and (2.29),

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho))_\rho &= \bar{w}(\rho)q_\rho(\rho) + 1 \\
&\geq \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho)}{\rho} \left[\left(a_1 + \frac{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}}{4\rho} \right) + \frac{3}{4\rho} (a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m} - 2a_3 q(\rho) \bar{w}(\rho)) + \frac{a_3}{2\rho} \bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho) \right] \\
&\geq \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho)}{\rho} \left[\left(a_1 + \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{\rho} \right) + \frac{3}{4\rho} \left(a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} - \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{2} \right) + \frac{a_3}{2\rho} \bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho) \right] \\
&\geq \frac{a_3}{2\rho^2} (\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho))^2 \quad \text{on } (\rho_6, \rho_5).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Dividing (2.30) by $(\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho))^2$ and integrating over (ρ, ρ_5) , $\rho_6 < \rho < \rho_5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\bar{w}_\rho(\rho)}{\bar{w}(\rho)} &= \frac{1}{\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho)} \geq \left(\frac{\bar{w}_\rho(\rho_5)}{\bar{w}(\rho_5)} - \frac{a_3}{2\rho_5} \right) + \frac{a_3}{2\rho} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_6, \rho_5) \\
\Rightarrow \log \bar{w}(\rho) &\leq \log \bar{w}(\rho_5) + \left(\frac{\bar{w}_\rho(\rho_5)}{\bar{w}(\rho_5)} - \frac{a_3}{2\rho_5} \right) (\rho - \rho_5) + \frac{a_3}{2} \log \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_5} \right) \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_6, \rho_5).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

If $\rho_6 = 0$, then by (2.31),

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}(\rho) = 0$$

which contradicts (2.2). Hence $\rho_6 > 0$ and

$$\bar{w}(\rho_6)q(\rho_6) = \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{4a_3}. \tag{2.32}$$

By (2.30) and (2.32),

$$\bar{w}(\rho)q(\rho) > \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{4a_3} \quad \forall \rho_6 < \rho < \rho_5$$

which contradicts (2.29). Hence no such $\rho_5 > 0$ exists and

$$q(\rho) \geq \frac{a_2}{8a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \min \left\{ \rho_2, \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{8|a_1| + 1} \right\}. \tag{2.33}$$

By (2.25) and (2.33), (2.18) holds for

$$\rho_0 = \min \left\{ \rho_2, \frac{a_2 \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}{8|a_1| + 1} \right\}.$$

Let $\{\rho_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be a sequence such that $\rho_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by (2.18), the sequence $\{\rho_i\}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence $\{\rho_i\}$ itself such that

$$q_\infty := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} q(\rho_i) \quad \text{exists}$$

and

$$q_\infty \in \left[\frac{a_2}{8a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}, \frac{2^{1+m} a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \right]. \tag{2.34}$$

By (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho^{-a_1} e^{a_2 \int_\rho^1 s^{-2} \bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds} q(\rho) \right)_\rho &= -\rho^{-a_1} \left[(1-m) \bar{w}(\rho)^{-1} + a_3 \rho^{-2} \bar{w}(\rho) q(\rho)^2 \right] e^{a_2 \int_\rho^1 s^{-2} \bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds} \quad \forall \rho > 0 \\
\Rightarrow q(\rho) &= \frac{q(1) + \int_\rho^1 s^{-a_1} \left[(1-m) \bar{w}(s)^{-1} + a_3 s^{-2} \bar{w}(s) q(s)^2 \right] e^{a_2 \int_s^1 \sigma^{-2} \bar{w}(\sigma)^{1-m} d\sigma} ds}{\rho^{-a_1} e^{a_2 \int_\rho^1 s^{-2} \bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds}} \quad \forall \rho > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Since $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^l e^{\frac{1}{s}} = \infty$ for any $l \in \mathbb{R}$, by (2.2) and (2.18),

$$\int_{\rho_i}^1 s^{-a_1} \left[(1-m)\bar{w}(s)^{-1} + a_3 s^{-2}\bar{w}(s)q(s)^2 \right] e^{a_2 \int_s^1 \sigma^{-2}\bar{w}(\sigma)^{1-m} d\sigma} ds \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\rho_i^{-a_1} e^{a_2 \int_{\rho_i}^1 s^{-2}\bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by (2.2), (2.34), (2.35) and l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} q_\infty &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} q(\rho_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\rho_i^{-a_1} \left[(1-m)\bar{w}(\rho_i)^{-1} + a_3 \rho_i^{-2}\bar{w}(\rho_i)q(\rho_i)^2 \right] e^{a_2 \int_{\rho_i}^1 s^{-2}\bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds}}{-a_1 \rho_i^{-(a_1+1)} e^{a_2 \int_{\rho_i}^1 s^{-2}\bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds} - a_2 \rho_i^{-(a_1+2)} \bar{w}(\rho_i)^{1-m} e^{a_2 \int_{\rho_i}^1 s^{-2}\bar{w}(s)^{1-m} ds}} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-m)\rho_i^2 \bar{w}(\rho_i)^{-1} + a_3 \bar{w}(\rho_i)q(\rho_i)^2}{a_1 \rho_i + a_2 \bar{w}(\rho_i)^{1-m}} = \frac{a_3}{a_2} \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} q_\infty^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Hence by (2.34) and (2.36),

$$q_\infty = \frac{a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}.$$

Since the sequence $\{\rho_i\}$ is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} q(\rho) = \frac{a_2}{a_3} \lambda^{\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$$

and (2.13) follows. Since

$$r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} (v^{(m)})'(r) = \frac{\rho_1}{\beta} \rho \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) - \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v^{(m)}(r) \quad \forall \rho = r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} > 0, \quad (2.37)$$

by (1.7) and (2.13),

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} (v^{(m)})'(r) = \frac{\rho_1}{\beta} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \rho \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) - \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v^{(m)}(r) = -\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$$

and (2.14) follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$. Then

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}_{\rho\rho}(\rho) = \frac{a_3(ma_3 - a_1)}{a_2^2} \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad (2.38)$$

where a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are constants given by (1.13). Hence \bar{w} can be extended to a function in $C^2([0, \infty))$ by defining $\bar{w}_\rho(0)$, $\bar{w}_\rho(0)$ and $\bar{w}_{\rho\rho}(0)$ by (1.12).

Proof. Let $\tilde{v}(\rho) = \bar{w}_\rho(\rho)$. Then by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}' &= (1-m) \frac{\tilde{v}^2}{\bar{w}} - \frac{a_1}{\rho} \tilde{v} - \frac{a_2}{\rho^2} \bar{w}^{1-m} \tilde{v} + \frac{a_3}{\rho^2} \bar{w} \\ &= (1-m) \frac{\tilde{v}^2}{\bar{w}} - \frac{a_1}{\rho} \tilde{v} - \frac{a_2 \bar{w}^{1-m}}{\rho^2} \left(\tilde{v} - \frac{a_3}{a_2} \cdot \bar{w}^m \right) \quad \forall \rho > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Let $v_1(\rho)$ be given by

$$\tilde{v}(\rho) = v_0 + v_1(\rho)\rho \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$v_0 = \frac{a_3}{a_2} \bar{w}^m(0).$$

Then by (2.39) for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1'(\rho) + v_1(\rho) \\ = \tilde{v}'(\rho) = \frac{(1-m)}{\bar{w}(\rho)} \tilde{v}^2(\rho) - a_1 v_1(\rho) - \frac{a_1 v_0}{\rho} - \frac{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}}{\rho^2} \left[\frac{a_3}{a_2} (\bar{w}^m(0) - \bar{w}^m(\rho)) + v_1(\rho) \rho \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

By the mean value theorem, for any $\rho > 0$ there exists a constant $\xi = \xi(\rho) \in (0, \rho)$ such that

$$\bar{w}^m(\rho) - \bar{w}^m(0) = m \bar{w}(\xi)^{m-1} \bar{w}_\rho(\xi) \rho. \quad (2.42)$$

By (2.41) and (2.42),

$$\begin{aligned} v_1'(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\frac{(1-m)}{\bar{w}(\rho)} \tilde{v}^2(\rho) - (1+a_1)v_1(\rho) \right] + \frac{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}}{\rho^2} \left[\frac{ma_3}{a_2} \bar{w}(\xi)^{m-1} \bar{w}_\rho(\xi) - v_1(\rho) - \frac{a_1 v_0}{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}} \right] \\ &= \frac{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}}{\rho} \left[\frac{1-m}{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{2-m}} \tilde{v}^2(\rho) - \frac{f_1(\rho)}{\rho} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$f_1(\rho) = \frac{(1+a_1)}{a_2} \rho v_1(\rho) \bar{w}(\rho)^{m-1} + v_1(\rho) - f_2(\rho) \quad (2.44)$$

and

$$f_2(\rho) = \frac{ma_3}{a_2} \bar{w}(\xi(\rho))^{m-1} \bar{w}_\rho(\xi(\rho)) - \frac{a_1 a_3}{a_2^2} \bar{w}(\rho)^{m-1} \lambda^{-\frac{m\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}.$$

Let

$$a_4 = \frac{a_3(ma_3 - a_1)}{a_2^2}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_4 > 0$. Then by (2.2) and (2.13),

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} f_2(\rho) = a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad (2.45)$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \rho v_1(\rho) = 0. \quad (2.46)$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/5$. By (2.45) and (2.46) there exists a constant $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \leq f_2(\rho) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho \leq \rho_2 \quad (2.47)$$

and

$$\frac{(1+a_1)}{a_2} \rho |v_1(\rho)| \bar{w}(\rho)^{m-1} \leq \varepsilon a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho \leq \rho_2. \quad (2.48)$$

and (2.17) hold. Let

$$\rho_\varepsilon = \min \left(\rho_2, \frac{\varepsilon a_2 a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{16(1-m)} \cdot \inf_{0 < \rho \leq 1} \frac{\bar{w}(\rho)^{2-m}}{\bar{w}_\rho(\rho)^2} \right)$$

We claim that

$$(1 - 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \leq v_1(\rho) \leq (1 + 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \rho_\varepsilon. \quad (2.49)$$

Suppose that the second inequality in (2.49) does not hold. Then there exists a constant $\rho'_1 \in (0, \rho_\varepsilon)$ such that

$$v_1(\rho'_1) > (1 + 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}.$$

By continuity of $v_1(\rho)$ on $(0, \infty)$, there exists a maximal interval (ρ_3, ρ_4) containing ρ'_1 , $0 \leq \rho_3 < \rho'_1 < \rho_4 \leq \rho_\varepsilon$, such that

$$v_1(\rho) > (1 + 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4). \quad (2.50)$$

Then by (2.44), (2.47), (2.48) and (2.50),

$$f_1(\rho) > \varepsilon a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4). \quad (2.51)$$

Hence by (2.17), (2.43) and (2.51),

$$v'_1(\rho) \leq \frac{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{1-m}}{\rho} \left[\frac{1-m}{a_2 \bar{w}(\rho)^{2-m}} \tilde{v}^2(\rho) - \frac{\varepsilon a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}}{\rho} \right] \leq -\frac{\delta_0}{\rho^2} < 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4) \quad (2.52)$$

for some constant $\delta_0 > 0$. Integrating (2.52) over (ρ, ρ_4) ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(\rho_4) - v_1(\rho) &\leq \delta_0 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_4} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) = \tilde{v}(\rho) &= v_0 + \rho v_1(\rho) \geq v_0 + \rho v_1(\rho_4) + \delta_0 - \frac{\delta_0 \rho}{\rho_4} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

If $\rho_3 = 0$, then by (2.53) and Lemma 2.2,

$$v_0 = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{w}_\rho(\rho) \geq v_0 + \delta_0 > v_0$$

and contradiction arises. Hence $\rho_3 > 0$. Thus

$$v_1(\rho_3) = (1 + 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}.$$

Then by (2.52),

$$v_1(\rho) < v_1(\rho_3) = (1 + 3\varepsilon) a_4 \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_3, \rho_4)$$

which contradicts (2.50). Hence no such $\rho'_1 > 0$ exists and the second inequality in (2.49) follows. By a similar argument the first inequality in (2.49) also holds. Hence (2.49) holds. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/5)$ is arbitrary, by (2.49),

$$\bar{w}_{\rho\rho}(0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{v(\rho) - v_0}{\rho} = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} v_1(\rho) = \frac{a_3(ma_3 - a_1)}{a_2^2} \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{(2m-1)\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, (2.37) and Taylor's expansions for \bar{w} and \bar{w}_ρ , Theorem 1.2 follows.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$ and $\phi_m, \alpha_m, \beta_0^{(m)}$, be given by (1.2), (1.5) and (1.6) respectively and $v = v^{(m)}$ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7). Then*

$$(v^{(m)})'(r) < 0 \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (2.54)$$

Proof. By (1.3) and Lemma 2.1,

$$(r^{n-1} v(r)^{m-1} v'(r))' = -\alpha_m \left(v(r) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha_m} r v'(r) \right) < 0 \quad \forall r > 0 \quad (2.55)$$

By Theorem 1.2 there exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that

$$v'(r) < 0 \quad \forall 0 < r \leq \xi_0. \quad (2.56)$$

By (2.55) and (2.56),

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1} v(r)^{m-1} v'(r) &< \xi_0^{n-1} v(\xi_0)^{m-1} v'(\xi_0) < 0 \quad \forall r > \xi_0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad v'(r) &< 0 \quad \forall r > \xi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

By (2.56) and (2.57), we get (2.54) the lemma follows. \square

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that the case $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $\beta \geq \frac{\rho_1}{n-2-nm}$ is already proved in [Hu4]. We will give a new proof which includes all cases of the theorem. By (1.3), (1.7) and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{n-1}(v_1(r)^{m-1}v_1'(r) - v_2(r)^{m-1}v_2'(r)) + \beta r^n(v_1(r) - v_2(r)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i-1} \xi^{n-2-\frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta}} \left(\xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v_i(\xi) \right)^{m-1} \xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} v_i'(\xi) + \beta \xi^n (v_1(\xi) - v_2(\xi)) \\ &\quad + (n\beta - \alpha_m) \int_{\xi}^r (v_1(\rho) - v_2(\rho)) \rho^{n-1} d\rho, \quad \forall r > \xi > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

By Theorem 1.2, there exist constants $\xi_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left(\xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v_i(\xi) \right)^{m-1} \xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} v_i'(\xi) \right| \leq C_0 \quad \forall 0 < \xi < \xi_0, i = 1, 2. \quad (2.59)$$

Since $\beta > \beta_0^{(m)}$, $n - 2 - \frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta} > 0$. Hence by (2.59),

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \xi^{n-2-\frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta}} \left(\xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v_i(\xi) \right)^{m-1} \xi^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} v_i'(\xi) \right| = 0. \quad (2.60)$$

By (1.14) of Theorem 1.2 there exist constants $C > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} |v_1(r) - v_2(r)| \leq C r^{\frac{2\rho_1}{\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < r < r_0. \quad (2.61)$$

Hence

$$\left| \xi^n (v_1(\xi) - v_2(\xi)) \right| \leq C \xi^{n-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+\frac{2\rho_1}{\beta}} = C \xi^{\frac{(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\beta}(\beta-\beta_0^{(m)})} \cdot \xi^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.62)$$

Letting $\xi \rightarrow 0$ in (2.58), by (2.60) and (2.62),

$$r^{n-1}(v_1(r)^{m-1}v_1'(r) - v_2(r)^{m-1}v_2'(r)) + \beta r^n(v_1(r) - v_2(r)) = (n\beta - \alpha_m) \int_0^r (v_1(\rho) - v_2(\rho)) \rho^{n-1} d\rho \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (2.63)$$

By Corollary 2.4,

$$v_i'(r) < 0 \quad \forall r > 0, i = 1, 2. \quad (2.64)$$

Since $n + \frac{2\rho_1 - \alpha_m}{\beta} = \frac{(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\beta}(\beta - \beta_0^{(m)}) + \frac{\rho_1}{\beta} > 0$, by (2.61),

$$\left| (n\beta - \alpha_m) \int_0^r (v_1 - v_2)(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d\rho \right| \leq C \int_0^r \rho^{n-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+\frac{2\rho_1}{\beta}-1} d\rho = C r^{n+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha_m}{\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < r < r_0 \quad (2.65)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Hence by (2.63) and (2.65),

$$r^{n-1} \left(v_1(r)^{m-1}v_1'(r) - v_2(r)^{m-1}v_2'(r) \right) + \beta r^n(v_1(r) - v_2(r)) \leq C r^{n+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha_m}{\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < r < r_0. \quad (2.66)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{0 < r < r_0 : v_1(r) \geq v_2(r)\}.$$

By (2.64) and (2.66) for any $r \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1(r)^{m-1}v_1'(r) + \beta r v_1(r) \\ &\quad \leq v_2(r)^{m-1}v_2'(r) + \beta r v_2(r) + C r^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha_m}{\beta}} \leq v_1(r)^{m-1}v_2'(r) + \beta r v_2(r) + C r^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha_m}{\beta}} \\ \Rightarrow & \quad (v_1 - v_2)'(r) + \beta r v_1(r)^{1-m} (v_1 - v_2)(r) \leq C r^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha_m}{\beta}} v_1(r)^{1-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((v_1 - v_2)_+(r) e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^r \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho} \right)' \leq C r^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha m}{\beta}} v_1(r)^{1-m} e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^r \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho} \quad \forall 0 < r_1 < r < r_0 \\
& \Rightarrow (v_1 - v_2)_+(r_2) \leq (v_1 - v_2)_+(r_1) e^{-\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho} \\
& \quad + C \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha m}{\beta}} v_1(\rho)^{1-m} \left(e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{\rho} s v_1(s)^{1-m} ds} \right) d\rho}{e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}} \quad \forall 0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

Since $r v_1(r)^{1-m} \approx r^{1-(1-m)\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} = r^{-1-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}$ near $r = 0$, both the numerator and denominator of the last term of (2.67) goes to infinity as $r_1 \rightarrow 0$. Hence by the l'Hospital rule,

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha m}{\beta}} v_1(\rho)^{1-m} \left(e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{\rho} s v_1(s)^{1-m} ds} \right) d\rho}{e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}} = \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{r_1^{\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha m}{\beta}}}{\beta e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}}. \tag{2.68}$$

Since

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho \cdot v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}{r_1^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}} = \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{r_1 v_1(r_1)^{1-m}}{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta} r_1^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}-1}} = \frac{\beta}{\rho_1} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \quad \forall 0 < r_2 < r_0,$$

for any $0 < r_2 < r_0$ there exists a constant $r_3 \in (0, r_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho \geq \frac{\beta}{2\rho_1} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} r_1^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \quad 0 < r_1 < r_3 \\
& \Rightarrow \left| \frac{r_1^{\theta}}{e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}} \right| \leq \frac{r_1^{\theta}}{e^{\frac{\beta^2}{2\rho_1} (\lambda r_1)^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r_1 \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \forall 0 < r_2 < r_0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.69}$$

By (1.7) and Corollary 2.4

$$v_i(r) < \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \quad \forall r > 0, i = 1, 2. \tag{2.70}$$

Hence by (2.68), (2.69) and (2.70),

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{(v_1 - v_2)_+(r_1)}{e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho^{1+\frac{2\rho_1-\alpha m}{\beta}} v_1(\rho)^{1-m} \left(e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{\rho} s v_1(s)^{1-m} ds} \right) d\rho}{e^{\beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \rho v_1(\rho)^{1-m} d\rho}} = 0. \tag{2.71}$$

By (2.67) and (2.71),

$$(v_1 - v_2)_+(r) \leq 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq r < r_0. \tag{2.72}$$

Similarly

$$(v_1 - v_2)_-(r) \leq 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq r < r_0. \tag{2.73}$$

By (2.72) and (2.73),

$$v_1(r) = v_2(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r < r_0. \tag{2.74}$$

Then by (2.74) and standard O.D.E. theory, (1.15) holds. \square

3. DECAY ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE ELLIPTIC LOGARITHMIC EQUATION

In this section we will prove the decay rate of solutions of the elliptic logarithmic equation (1.8).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Suppose that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$w(r) \leq C_0 \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, any sequence $\{w(r_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, has a subsequence $\{w(r'_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w(r'_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{or } w_\infty & \text{if } v \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1) \\ 0 & \text{or } w_1 & \text{if } v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1) \text{ and } \beta > 0 \\ 0 & & \text{if } v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1) \text{ and } \beta \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$w_\infty = \frac{2(n-2)}{\alpha - 2\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 = \frac{2}{\beta}.$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [Hs4] to prove the lemma. Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.1) the sequence $\{w(r_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges to some constant $a_0 \in [0, C_0]$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Multiplying (1.8) by r^{n-1} and integrating over $(1, r)$,

$$v'(r) = a_5 \frac{v(r)}{r^{n-1}} - \beta r v^2(r) + \frac{(n\beta - \alpha)}{r^{n-1}} v(r) \int_1^r \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$a_5 = v(1)^{-1} v'(1) + \beta v(1). \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.3) over (r, ∞) , by (3.1) we have

$$v(r) = -a_5 \int_r^\infty s^{1-n} v(s) ds + \beta \int_r^\infty s v^2(s) ds + (\alpha - n\beta) \int_r^\infty s^{1-n} v(s) \left(\int_1^s \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho \right) ds \quad \forall r > 1. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.1), (3.5) and l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i^2 v(r_i) \\ &= -a_5 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{r_i}^\infty s^{1-n} v(s) ds}{r_i^{-2}} + \beta \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{r_i}^\infty s v^2(s) ds}{r_i^{-2}} + (\alpha - n\beta) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{r_i}^\infty s^{1-n} v(s) \left(\int_1^s \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho \right) ds}{r_i^{-2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-a_5 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r_i)}{r_i^{n-4}} + \beta \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_i v^2(r_i)}{r_i^{-3}} + (\alpha - n\beta) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_i^{1-n} v(r_i) \int_1^{r_i} \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho}{r_i^{-3}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta a_0^2 + (\alpha - n\beta) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_i^2 v(r_i) \int_1^{r_i} \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho}{r_i^{n-2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta a_0^2 + (\alpha - n\beta) a_0 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^{r_i} \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho}{r_i^{n-2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

If $v \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)$, then by (3.6) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta a_0^2 + \frac{(\alpha - n\beta)}{n-2} a_0 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i^2 v(r_i) \right) = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta}{2(n-2)} a_0^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad a_0 &= 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_0 = \frac{2(n-2)}{\alpha - 2\beta} = w_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

If $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1)$, then by (3.6),

$$a_0 = \frac{\beta}{2} a_0^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} a_0 = 0 & \text{or} & a_0 = \frac{2}{\beta} = w_1 & \text{if } \beta > 0 \\ a_0 = 0 & & & \text{if } \beta \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), the lemma follows. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Suppose that there exist constants $C_0 > C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 \leq w(r) \leq C_0 \quad \forall r \geq 1.$$

Then (1.16) holds.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta > \beta_1^{(0)} := \frac{\rho_1}{n-2}$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$w(r) \geq C_1 \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. By (3.3),

$$v'(r) + \beta r v^2(r) + |a_5| r^{1-n} v(r) \geq 0 \quad \forall r \geq 1 \quad (3.10)$$

where a_5 is given by (3.4). Let $H(r) = e^{-\frac{|a_5|}{n-2} r^{2-n}} v(r)$. Then by (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} H'(r) &\geq -\beta e^{\frac{|a_5|}{n-2} r^{2-n}} r H(r)^2 \geq -\beta e^{\frac{|a_5|}{n-2}} r H(r)^2 \quad \forall r \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad -H(r)^{-2} H'(r) &\leq \beta e^{\frac{|a_5|}{n-2}} r \quad \forall r \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad v(r) \geq H(r) \geq \left(\frac{\beta e^{\frac{|a_5|}{n-2}}}{2} r^2 + H(1)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.12) there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that (3.9) holds and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta \leq \beta_1^{(0)} := \frac{\rho_1}{n-2}$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that (3.9) holds.*

Proof. As observed in [Hs1], w satisfies

$$\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)' + \frac{n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{w'}{w} + \frac{\beta}{r} w' + \frac{(\alpha - 2\beta)w - 2(n-2)}{r^2} = 0 \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Multiplying (3.13) by r^{n-1} and integrating over $(1, r)$, $r > 1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{r^{n-1} w'(r)}{w(r)} + \beta r^{n-2} w(r) \\ &= \frac{w'(1)}{w(1)} + \beta w(1) + (n\beta - \alpha) \int_1^r \rho^{n-3} w(\rho) d\rho + 2(r^{n-2} - 1) \quad \forall r > 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{r w'(r)}{w(r)} &= 2 - \beta w(r) + \frac{(n\beta - \alpha)}{r^{n-2}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-3} w(\rho) d\rho + \frac{a_6}{r^{n-2}} \quad \forall r > 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $a_6 = w(1)^{-1}w'(1) + \beta w(1) - 2$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ r > 1 : w(r) \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right\}.$$

If there is a constant $R_0 > 1$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cap [R_0, \infty) = \emptyset$, then

$$w(r) \geq \frac{1}{\rho_1} \quad \forall r \geq R_0$$

and (3.9) follows. Hence we may assume that

$$\mathcal{B} \cap [R_1, \infty) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall R_1 > 1. \quad (3.15)$$

If $\int_1^\infty \rho^{n-3} w(\rho) d\rho = \infty$ holds, then by (3.14) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\liminf_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in \mathcal{B}}} \frac{rw'(r)}{w(r)} \geq 2 - \frac{\beta}{\rho_1} - \frac{\alpha - n\beta}{n-2} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_1} = 2 - \frac{1}{n-2} > 0.$$

If $\int_1^\infty \rho^{n-3} w(\rho) d\rho < \infty$ holds, then by (3.14),

$$\liminf_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in \mathcal{B}}} \frac{rw'(r)}{w(r)} \geq 2 - \frac{\beta}{\rho_1} > 2 - \frac{1}{n-2} > 0.$$

Hence in both cases there exists a constant $R_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$w'(r) > 0 \quad \forall r \in \mathcal{B} \cap [R_2, \infty). \quad (3.16)$$

Suppose that there exists a constant $R_3 > R_2$ such that $R_3 \notin \mathcal{B}$. Let

$$R_4 = \sup \left\{ r_1 > R_3 : w(r) > \frac{1}{\rho_1} \quad \forall R_3 \leq r < r_1 \right\}.$$

By (3.15),

$$R_4 < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(R_4) = \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \quad R_4 \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad w'(R_4) \leq 0$$

which contradicts (3.16). Thus no such point R_3 exists. Hence

$$[R_2, \infty) \subset \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.17)$$

By (3.16) and (3.17),

$$w(r) \geq w(R_2) \quad \forall r \geq R_2$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta \leq \beta_1^{(0)} := \frac{\rho_1}{n-2}$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that (3.1) holds.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 v satisfies (2.54). Since $\alpha \geq n\beta$, by (1.8), (1.9), (2.54) and Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
r^{n-1} \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)} + \beta r^n v(r) &= \frac{v'(1)}{v(1)} + \beta v(1) - (\alpha - n\beta) \int_1^r \rho^{n-1} v(\rho) d\rho \quad \forall r > 1 \\
&\leq \frac{v'(1)}{v(1)} + \beta v(1) - (\alpha - n\beta) \int_1^r \rho^{n-1} v(r) d\rho \quad \forall r > 1 \\
&\leq a_5 - \frac{(\alpha - n\beta)}{n} r^n v(r) \quad \forall r > 1 \\
\Rightarrow r^{n-1} \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)} + \frac{\alpha}{n} r^n v(r) &\leq a_5 \quad \forall r > 1 \\
\Rightarrow \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{n} r &\leq \frac{|a_5|}{r^{n-1} v(r)} \leq \frac{C_2}{r^{n-3}} \leq C_2 \quad \forall r > 1
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ where a_5 is given by (3.4). Integrating (3.18) over $(1, r)$,

$$\frac{1}{v(r)} \geq \frac{\alpha r^2}{2n} - C_2(r-1) - \frac{\alpha}{2n} + \frac{1}{v(1)} \geq \frac{\alpha r^2}{4n} + C_3 \quad \forall r > \max\left(1, \frac{4nC_2}{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.19}$$

where $C_3 = C_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2n} + \frac{1}{v(1)}$. Then by (2.54) and (3.19), (3.1) holds for some constant $C_0 > 0$ and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.6 (cf. Lemma 2.6 of [Hs4]). *Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\beta > \beta_1^{(0)} = \frac{\rho_1}{n-2}$ and $\alpha = 2\beta + \rho_1$. Let $v = v^{(0)}$ be a radially symmetric solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and $w(r) = r^2 v(r)$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that (3.1) holds.*

Proof. The proof of the lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [Hs4]. For the sake of completeness we will give a sketch of the proof here. Let $A = \{r \in [1, \infty) : w'(r) \geq 0\}$. If there exists a constant $R_0 > 1$ such that $A \cap [R_0, \infty) = \emptyset$. Then $w'(r) < 0$ for all $r \geq R_0$ and (3.1) holds with $C_0 = \max_{1 \leq r \leq R_0} w(r)$.

We next suppose that $A \cap [R_0, \infty) \neq \emptyset$ for any $R_0 > 1$. By Lemma 3.3 and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\limsup_{r \in A, r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r z^{n-1} v(z) dz}{r^n v(r)} = \limsup_{r \in A, r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r z^{n-1} v(z) dz}{r^{n-2} w(r)} \leq \limsup_{r \in A, r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{n-1} v(r)}{(n-2)r^{n-3} w(r) + r^{n-2} w'(r)} \leq \frac{1}{n-2}.$$

Hence there exists a constant $R_1 > 1$ such that

$$\int_1^r z^{n-1} v(z) dz \leq \left(\frac{1}{n-2} + \frac{\rho_1}{2(n-2)(n\beta - \alpha)} \right) r^n v(r) \quad \forall r \geq R_1, r \in A. \tag{3.20}$$

By (3.3) and (3.20) for any $r \geq R_1, r \in A$,

$$\frac{rv'(r)}{v(r)} \leq \frac{a_5}{r^{n-2}} - \beta r^2 v(r) + (n\beta - \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{n-2} + \frac{\rho_1}{2(n-2)(n\beta - \alpha)} \right) r^2 v(r) \leq \frac{a_5}{R_1^{n-2}} - \frac{\rho_1}{2(n-2)} w(r). \tag{3.21}$$

where a_5 is given by (3.4). Hence by (3.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq w'(r) &= \frac{2w(r)}{r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{rv'(r)}{v(r)} \right) \leq \frac{2w(r)}{r} \left(1 + \frac{a_5}{2R_1^{n-2}} - \frac{\rho_1}{4(n-2)} w(r) \right) \quad \forall r \geq R_1, r \in A \\
\Rightarrow w(r) &\leq C_3 \quad \forall r \geq R_1, r \in A
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. Since $w'(r) < 0$ for any $r \in [R_1, \infty) \setminus A$, by (3.22) and the same argument as the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [Hs4] (3.1) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If $n\beta > \alpha$, by Corollary 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, (1.16) follows. If $\alpha \geq n\beta$, by Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.2, (1.16) follows. \square

4. SINGULAR LIMITS OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we will prove the singular limits of solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) as $m \rightarrow 0^+$. We first start with a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < \bar{m}_0 < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta \geq \beta_0^{(\bar{m}_0)}$ and $\alpha_m = \frac{2\beta+\rho_1}{1-m}$. For any $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, let $v^{(m)}$ be the radially symmetric solution of (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies (1.7) given by Theorem 1.1. Then there exists a constant $m_0 \in (0, \bar{m}_0)$ such that*

$$\lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \leq r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v^{(m)}(r) \leq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \exp\left(C_m \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}\right) \quad \forall |x| = r > 0, 0 < m < m_0 \quad (4.1)$$

holds where

$$C_m = \frac{\alpha_m}{\rho_1 \beta} \left(n - 2 - \frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta} \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the technique of [Hu4] to prove the theorem. Note that

$$\beta > \frac{m\rho_1}{n-2-nm} \quad \forall 0 < m < \bar{m}_0.$$

By the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [Hu4], for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < m < \bar{m}_0$, there exists a radially symmetric solution v_i of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi_m(v) + \alpha_m v + \beta x \cdot \nabla v = 0, & v > 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{1}{i}} \\ v_i(1/i) = \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} i^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}}, \\ v'_i(1/i) = -\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} i^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}+1} \end{cases}$$

which satisfies

$$v'_i(r) < 0 \quad \forall r \geq \frac{1}{i} \quad \text{and} \quad v_i(r) \geq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{-\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} \quad \forall r \geq \frac{1}{i}. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover the sequence v_i has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence v_i itself that converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to $v = v^{(m)}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $w_i(r) = r^{\frac{\alpha_m}{\beta}} v_i(r)$, $s = \log r$ and $z_i(s) = w_i^{-1} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial s}$. Then by the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [Hu4] (cf. [Hs2]) and (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{w_{i,r}}{w_i} \right)_r + \frac{n-1-\frac{2m\alpha_m}{\beta}}{r} \cdot \frac{w_{i,r}}{w_i} + m \left(\frac{w_{i,r}}{w_i} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta r^{-1-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} w_{i,r}}{w_i^m} = \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta} \cdot \frac{n-2-\frac{m\alpha_m}{\beta}}{r^2} \quad \forall r > 1/i, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow & z_{i,s} + \left(n-2-\frac{2m\alpha_m}{\beta} \right) z_i + m z_i^2 + \beta e^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}s} w_i^{1-m} z_i = \rho_1 C_m \quad \forall s > -\log i, i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We now choose $m_0 \in (0, \bar{m}_0)$ such that

$$n-2-\frac{2m\alpha_m}{\beta} > 0 \quad \forall 0 < m < m_0.$$

Since by the proof of Theorem 1.1. of [Hu4] $z_i(s) = w_i^{-1} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial s} \geq 0$ for all $s > -\log i$, by (4.3) and (4.4),

$$z_{i,s} + \beta \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} e^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}s} z_i \leq \rho_1 C_m \quad \forall s > -\log i, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 0 < m < m_0 \quad (4.5)$$

By (4.5) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [Hu4],

$$\begin{aligned}
z_i(s) &\leq \frac{\rho_1 C_m}{\beta} \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} e^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta} s} \quad \forall s > -\log i, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 0 < m < m_0 \\
\Rightarrow w_i(r) &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} \exp \left\{ C_m \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \right\} \quad \forall r > 1/i, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 0 < m < m_0 \\
\Rightarrow v_i(r) &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{(1-m)\beta}} r^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \exp \left\{ C_m \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} r^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \right\} \quad \forall r > 1/i, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 0 < m < m_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.3) and (4.6), we get (4.1) and the lemma follows \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $m_0 \in (0, \overline{m}_0)$ be given by Lemma 4.1. Let $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $0 < m_i < m_0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, be a sequence such that $m_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $R_2 > R_1 > 0$. By (4.1),

$$M_1(R_2) \leq v^{(m)}(x) \leq M_2(R_1, R_2) \quad \forall R_1 \leq |x| \leq R_2 \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} M_1(R_2) = \min \left(\lambda^{-\frac{n\rho_1}{2\beta}}, \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \right) \min \left(R_2^{-\frac{n}{2}(2+\frac{\rho_1}{\beta})}, R_2^{-(2+\frac{\rho_1}{\beta})} \right) \\ M_2(R_1, R_2) = \max \left(\lambda^{-\frac{n\rho_1}{2\beta}}, \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \right) \max \left(R_1^{-\frac{n}{2}(2+\frac{\rho_1}{\beta})}, R_1^{-(2+\frac{\rho_1}{\beta})} \right) \exp \left(\frac{n(n-2)(2\beta+\rho_1)}{2\rho_1\beta} \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} R_2^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \right). \end{cases}$$

By (4.7) and the mean value theorem, for any $0 < m < m_0$ there exists $r_m \in (1, 2)$ such that

$$|(v^{(m)})'(r_m)| = |v^{(m)}(2) - v^{(m)}(1)| \leq 2M_2(1, 2). \tag{4.8}$$

Multiplying (1.3) by r^{n-1} and integrating over (r_m, r) , $R_1 \leq r \leq R_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&r^{n-1} (v^{(m)}(r))^{m-1} (v^{(m)})'(r) \\
&= r_m^{n-1} (v^{(m)}(r_m))^{m-1} (v^{(m)})'(r_m) + \beta r_m^n v^{(m)}(r_m) - \beta r^n v^{(m)}(r) + (n\beta - \alpha_m) \int_{r_m}^r v^{(m)}(\rho) \rho^{n-1} d\rho.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), for any $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ there exists a constant $M_3(R_1, R_2) > 0$ such that

$$|(v^{(m)})'(r)| \leq M_3(R_1, R_2) \quad \forall R_1 \leq r \leq R_2, 0 < m < m_0 \tag{4.10}$$

$$\Rightarrow |v^{(m)}(r_1) - v^{(m)}(r_2)| \leq M_3(R_1, R_2) |r_1 - r_2| \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2], 0 < m < m_0. \tag{4.11}$$

By (1.3), (4.7) and (4.10), for any $R_1 \leq r \leq R_2$ and $0 < m_0 < \overline{m}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{(m)})''(r) &= (1-m)(v^{(m)}(r))^{-1} (v^{(m)})'(r)^2 - \alpha v^{(m)}(r)^{2-m} \\
&\quad - \beta r v^{(m)}(r)^{1-m} (v^{(m)})'(r) - (n-1)r^{-1} (v^{(m)})'(r)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\Rightarrow |(v^{(m)})''(r)| \leq M_4(R_1, R_2) \quad \forall R_1 \leq r \leq R_2, 0 < m < m_0$$

$$\Rightarrow |(v^{(m)})'(r_1) - (v^{(m)})'(r_2)| \leq M_4(R_1, R_2) |r_1 - r_2| \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2], 0 < m < m_0. \tag{4.13}$$

for some constant $M_4(R_1, R_2) > 0$. By differentiating (1.3) with respect to $r > 0$ and repeating the above argument, there exists a constant $M_5(R_1, R_2) > 0$ such that

$$|(v^{(m)})'''(r)| \leq M_5(R_1, R_2) \quad \text{and} \quad |(v^{(m)})''(r_1) - (v^{(m)})''(r_2)| \leq M_5(R_1, R_2) |r_1 - r_2| \quad \forall r, r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2] \tag{4.14}$$

holds for any $0 < m < m_0$. By (4.7), (4.10), (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14), the sequence $\{v^{(m_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-Holder continuous in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. By the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{v^{(m_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to some positive function $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Putting $m = m_i$ in (4.12) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$v''(r) = (v(r))^{-1} v'(r)^2 - \alpha v(r)^{2-1} - \beta v(r) v'(r), \quad v > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

and hence v satisfies (1.8). Letting $m = m_i \rightarrow 0$ in (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} &\leq |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v(x) \leq \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \exp\left(C_0 \lambda^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} |x|^{\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v(x) &= \lambda^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = \frac{(2\beta + \rho_1)(n-2)}{\rho_1 \beta}$. Then by Theorem 1.3 v is the unique solution of (1.8) which satisfies (1.9). Since the sequence $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, $v^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to the unique solution v of (1.8) which satisfies (1.9) as $m \rightarrow 0^+$ and the theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6: We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.5 of [Hu2] to prove the theorem. Let $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq h \leq 1$, $h(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $h(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. Let $\eta(x) = h(x)^4$ and $\eta_R(x) = \eta(x/R)$ for any $R > 0$. For any $R > 3\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\eta_{\epsilon,R}(x) = (1 - \eta(x/\epsilon))\eta_R(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\epsilon,R} = 0 & \forall |x| \leq \epsilon \text{ or } |x| \geq 2R \\ \eta_{\epsilon,R}(x) = 1 & \forall 2\epsilon \leq |x| \leq R \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\Delta \eta_{\epsilon,R}(x)| \leq \frac{C_1}{\epsilon^2} \quad \forall \epsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\epsilon, \quad |\Delta \eta_{\epsilon,R}(x)| \leq \frac{C_1}{R^2} \quad \forall R \leq |x| \leq 2R \quad (4.15)$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. By Kato's inequality [Ka],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_1 - u_2)_+(x, t) \eta_{\epsilon,R}(x) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) \Delta \eta_{\epsilon,R}(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\epsilon} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 2R} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) |\Delta \eta_R(x)| dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

By (1.9) and Lemma 2.1 there exists a constant $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_i^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} \leq |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} v_{\lambda_i}(x) \leq 2\lambda_i^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq \epsilon_1, i = 1, 2. \quad (4.17)$$

Then by (1.18) and (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) &\leq \log \left(2\lambda_2^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} ((T-t)|x|)^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) - \log \left(\lambda_1^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\beta}} ((T-t)|x|)^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\rho_1}{\beta} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) + \log 2 \quad \forall |x| \leq \epsilon_1/T^\beta, 0 < t < T \\ \Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\epsilon} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) dx \right| &\leq 2^n \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\beta} \log \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) + \log 2 \right) \omega_n \epsilon^{n-2} \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2T^\beta}, 0 < t < T \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \forall 0 < t < T \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where ω_n is the surface area of the unit sphere S^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n . Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.16), by (4.18) we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_1 - u_2)_+(x, t) \eta_R(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\log u_1 - \log u_2)_+(x, t) |\Delta \eta_R(x)| dx \quad \forall 0 < t < T. \quad (4.19)$$

By Theorem 1.4 there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$v_{\lambda_i}(x) \geq C_3|x|^{-2} \quad \forall |x| \geq 1, i = 1, 2. \quad (4.20)$$

By (1.18) and (4.20),

$$u_i(x, t) \geq (T - t)^\alpha \cdot C_3 \left((T - t)^\beta |x| \right)^{-2} = C_3(T - T_1)|x|^{-2} \quad \forall |x| \geq (T - T_1)^{-\beta}, 0 < t \leq T_1 < T. \quad (4.21)$$

By (4.19), (4.21) and the same argument as the proof of Lemma 2.5 of [Hu2] for any $T_1 \in (0, T)$ we get $u_1 \leq u_2$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T_1)$. Hence (1.19) holds.

If $u_{0,1} = u_{0,2}$ and both u_1, u_2 are solutions of (1.17) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ which satisfy (1.18), then we also have $u_2 \leq u_1$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$. Hence $u_1 = u_2$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ and the theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7: Let $m_0 \in (0, \bar{m}_0)$ by given by Lemma 4.1. Then by (1.20) and Lemma 4.1, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $0 < t < T$, $0 < m < m_0$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{(1-m)\beta}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \leq V_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}(x, t) \leq \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{(1-m)\beta}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \exp \left(C_m \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}} T |x|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \quad (4.22)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\lambda}_i |x|^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \leq V_{\lambda_i}^{(m)}(x, t) \leq \bar{\lambda}_i |x|^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\beta}} \exp \left(\bar{C}_0 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}} T |x|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \quad (4.23)$$

where C_m is given by (4.2) and

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = \max \left(\lambda_i^{-\frac{n}{2\beta}}, \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right), \quad \underline{\lambda}_i = \min \left(\lambda_i^{-\frac{n}{2\beta}}, \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{C}_0 = \frac{n(n-2)(2\beta+1)}{2\beta}.$$

By (1.23) and (4.23),

$$\underline{\lambda}_1 \min \left(|x|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)}, |x|^{-\left(2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)} \right) \leq u^{(m)}(x, t) \leq \bar{\lambda}_2 \max \left(|x|^{-\frac{n}{2} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)}, |x|^{-\left(2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)} \right) \exp \left(\bar{C}_0 \lambda_2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} T |x|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \quad (4.24)$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $0 < t < T$ and $0 < m < m_0$. Let $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset (0, m_0)$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $m_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.24) the equation (1.1) for the sequence $\{u^{(m_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly parabolic on every compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$. By the Schauder estimates for parabolic equations [LSU], the sequence $u^{(m_i)}(x, t)$ is equi-bounded in $C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(K)$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ for any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$. Hence by the Ascoli theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $u^{(m_i)}(x, t)$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(K)$ for any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to a positive function $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ which by (1.23) and Theorem 1.5 satisfies (1.25).

Putting $m = m_i$ in (4.22) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, by Theorem 1.5,

$$\lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \leq V_i(x, t) \leq \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \exp \left(\bar{C}_0 \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}} T |x|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, 0 < t < T, i = 1, 2. \quad (4.25)$$

By (4.24) and the mean value theorem for any $(x, t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ there exists $\xi_i(x, t) \in (0, m_i]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{u^{(m_i)}(x, t)^{m_i} - 1}{m_i} - \log u(x, t) \right| \\ &= \left| e^{\xi_i(x, t) \log u^{(m_i)}(x, t)} \log u^{(m_i)}(x, t) - \log u(x, t) \right| \\ &\leq e^{\xi_i(x, t) \log u^{(m_i)}(x, t)} \left| \log u^{(m_i)}(x, t) - \log u(x, t) \right| + \left| e^{\xi_i(x, t) \log u^{(m_i)}(x, t)} - 1 \right| \cdot \left| \log u(x, t) \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly on every compact subset of } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Hence putting $m = m_i$ in (1.1) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, by (4.26) u satisfies (1.10). It remains to prove that u has initial value u_0 . For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we choose constants $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u^{(m_i)}(x, t) \psi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u_{0,m}(x) \psi(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u_t^{(m_i)}(x, s) \psi(x) dx ds \right| = \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{u^{(m_i)}(x, s)^{m_i} - (T-s)^{m_i \alpha_{m_i}}}{m_i} \right) \cdot \Delta \psi(x) dx ds \right| \\ &\leq \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \int_{B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}} (E_1 + E_2) dx ds \quad \forall 0 < t < T \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$E_k = \left| \frac{V_{\lambda_k}^{(m)}(x, s)^{m_i} - (T-s)^{m_i \alpha_{m_i}}}{m_i} \right| \quad \forall k = 1, 2.$$

Since

$$E_k = |(T-s)^{m_i \alpha_{m_i}}| \left| \frac{v_{\lambda_k}^{(m)}((T-s)^\beta |x|)^{m_i} - 1}{m_i} \right| \rightarrow |\log v_{\lambda_k}((T-s)^\beta x)| \quad \text{uniformly on } (B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}) \times (0, T_1)$$

for any $0 < T_1 < T$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.27),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u(x, t) \psi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u_0(x) \psi(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \int_{B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}} \left(|\log v_{\lambda_1}((T-s)^\beta x)| + |\log v_{\lambda_2}((T-s)^\beta x)| \right) dx ds \\ &\leq C_1 \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^\infty} t \quad \forall 0 < t \leq T/2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$C_1 = \max_{(\frac{T}{2})^\beta R_1 \leq |y| \leq T^\beta R_2} |\log v_{\lambda_1}(y)| + \max_{(\frac{T}{2})^\beta R_1 \leq |y| \leq T^\beta R_2} |\log v_{\lambda_2}(y)|.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in (4.28),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u(x, t) \psi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} u_0(x) \psi(x) dx \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}). \quad (4.29)$$

By (4.29), any sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converging to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ will have a subsequence $\{t_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ such that $u(x, t_{k_l})$ converges to $u_0(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Then by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_1 \leq |x| \leq R_2} |u(x, t_{k_l}) - u_0(x)| dx = 0 \quad \forall R_2 > R_1 > 0.$$

Since the sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, $u(\cdot, t)$ converges to u_0 in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence u has initial value u_0 . Thus by Theorem 1.6 u is the unique solution of (1.24). Hence $u^{(m)}$ converges uniformly in $C^{2+\theta, 1+\frac{\theta}{2}}(K)$ for some constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$ to the solution u of (1.24) as $m \rightarrow 0^+$ and the theorem follows. \square

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank the referee for the many valuable comments and suggestions on the paper. K.M. Hui was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (MOST 105-2115-M-001-002). Sunghoon Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP, no. 2015R1C1A1A02036548). Sunghoon Kim was also supported by the Research Fund 2016 of The Catholic University of Korea.

REFERENCES

- [Ar] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [CV] E. Chasseigne and J.L. Vázquez, *Theory of extended solutions for fast-diffusion equations in optimal classes of data. Radiation from singularities*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 164 (2002), no. 2, 133-187.
- [DGL] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and N. Liao, *Logarithmically singular parabolic equations as limits of the porous medium equation*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 75 (2012), no. 12, 4513-4533.
- [DK] P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig, *Degenerate diffusion-initial value problems and local regularity theory*, Tracts in Mathematics vol. 1, European Math. Soc., 2007.
- [DKS] P. Daskalopoulos, J. King and N. Sesum, *Extinction profile of complete non-compact solutions to the Yamabe flow*, arXiv:1306.0859.
- [DS1] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutions to fast diffusion*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 622 (2008), 95-119.
- [DS2] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *The classification of locally conformally flat Yamabe solitons*, Adv. Math. 240 (2013), 346-369.
- [FVWY] M. Fila, J.L. Vázquez, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Rate of convergence to Barenblatt profiles for the fast diffusion equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 204 (2012), no. 2, 599-625.
- [FW1] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Sharp rate of convergence to Barenblatt profiles for a critical fast diffusion equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 14 (2015), no. 1, 107-119.
- [FW2] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Optimal rates of convergence to the singular Barenblatt profile for the fast diffusion equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 146 (2016), no. 2, 309-324.
- [FW3] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to separable solutions of the fast diffusion equation*, Israel J. Math. 213 (2016), no. 1, 1-32.
- [FW4] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Slow growth of solutions of super-fast diffusion equations with unbounded initial data*, arXiv:1605.04150v1.
- [H] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, in Mathematics and General Relativity, Contemporary Mathematics 71 (1988), 237-261.
- [HP] M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre, *The Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u^m$ for $0 < m < 1$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 291 (1985), no. 1, 145-158.
- [Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Classification of radially symmetric self-similar solutions of $u_t = \Delta \log u$ in higher dimensions*, Differential Integral Equations 18 (2005), no. 10, 1175-1192.
- [Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Singular limit and exact decay rate of a nonlinear elliptic equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), no. 7, 3443-3455.
- [Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation*, Manuscripta Math. 140 (2013), no. 3-4, 441-460.
- [Hs4] S.Y. Hsu, *Exact decay rate of a nonlinear elliptic equation related to the Yamabe flow*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), no. 12, 4239-4249.
- [Hu1] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the equation $u_t = \Delta(u^m/m)$ as $m \rightarrow 0$* , Pacific J. Math. 187 (1999), no. 2, 297-316.
- [Hu2] K.M. Hui, *On some Dirichlet and Cauchy problems for a singular diffusion equation*, Differential Integral Equations 15 (2002), no. 7, 769-804.
- [Hu3] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 68 (2008), 1120-1147.
- [Hu4] K.M. Hui, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the fast diffusion equation near its extinction time* arXiv:1407.2696v2
- [Ka] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operator with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. 13, 135-148 (1973)
- [KL] S. Kim and Ki-Ahm Lee, *Smooth solution for the porous medium equation in a bounded domain*, J. Differential Equations 247 (2009), no. 4, 1064-1095.
- [LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear equation of parabolic type*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1968).
- [PS] M.del Pino and M. Sáez, *On the extinction profile for solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^{\frac{n-2}{n-2}}$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), no. 1, 611-628.
- [V1] J.L. Vázquez, *Nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear heat equation of fast-diffusion type*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 71(1992), 503-526.
- [V2] J.L. Vázquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 33, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [V3] J.L. Vázquez, *The porous medium equation. Mathematical theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [V4] J.L. Vázquez, *Finite-time blow-down in the evolution of point masses by planar logarithmic diffusion*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 19 (2007), no. 1, 1-35.

- [VW1] J.L. Vázquez and M. Winkler, *Highly time-oscillating solutions for very fast diffusion equations*, J. Evol. Equ. 11 (2011), no. 3, 725-742.
- [VW2] J.L. Vázquez and M. Winkler, *The evolution of singularities in fast diffusion equations: infinite-time blow-down*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43 (2011), no. 4, 1499-1535.
- [W] L.F. Wu, *The Ricci flow on complete R^2* , Comm. in Analysis and Geom. 1 (1993), 439-472.
- [Y] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow*, J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), no. 1, 35-50.

KIN MING HUI: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI, 10617, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

E-mail address: kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw

SUNGHOO KIM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES, THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF KOREA, 43 JIBONG-RO, WONMI-GU, BUCHEON-SI, GYEONGGI-DO, 14662, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: math.s.kim@catholic.ac.kr